Executive Summary

In the 2012-13 academic year, Dan Monroe took a sabbatical in the fall to work on multiple writing projects including a biography of James K. Polk and a narrative history of daily life in antebellum America. During the sabbatical, Timothy Kovalcik served as acting chair and kept the department on track. We continued to employ a talented group of adjuncts--Bill Keagle, Bob Sampson, and Ellie Perelman--each of whom offered courses strong in content and popular with students. The History Department again received student evaluation ratings that are above the division, college, and university averages. Our newest tenure-line faculty member, Dr. Brian Mullgardt, continued in his role as coordinator of the Social Science Education major; Dr. Mullgardt wrote our annual education assessment report, dispatched a letter to high school history teachers touting our program, and attended the Illinois Council for Social Studies annual conference. The department still lacks a tenure line in nonwestern history, a requirement of all History and Social Science Education majors, a position that needs to be filled before accreditation review. Professor Ellie Perelman offered excellent nonwestern history courses strong on content and popular with students; unfortunately, Dr. Perelman accepted a three-year appointment with the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill. The department staged a farewell dinner for Dr. Perelman in Champaign in June. All History faculty, adjunct and tenure-line, have been instructed to include department learning goals and CAS required language in course syllabi. The department continues to be a leading provider of IN250 and IN350 courses, and History maintained a high number of students per full time equivalent faculty member. The department has an active chapter of the History honor society, Phi Alpha Theta, and that student organization sponsored dinners in December and May for all History and Social Science Education majors, events that were heavily attended. History and Social Science Education students presented papers at the Conference on Illinois History in October 2012 (Jessica Knackstedt and Joe Kucznski), and History faculty also participated: Dan Monroe and Brian Mullgardt moderated sessions while Bob Sampson presented a paper on the origins of baseball in Central Illinois. The Millikin History Department remains one of the few, if not the only, undergraduate program in the region to sponsor student presentations at major scholarly conferences. Dr. Kovalcik again took students on a tour of Holocaust sites in May 2013, an immersion course that many students describe as life-changing. Over the summer 2012, Dan Monroe met with former congressman Thomas Ewing at his home in Pontiac, and the result of those meetings was the donation of the Ewing Papers to the Millikin history department. Millikin history students will organize and catalogue the holdings, an amazing opportunity for hands-on archival work. During the 2013 spring break, Dan Monroe and Tim Kovalcik traveled to Benton County, Missouri, for meetings with local historians and archivists under the aegis of retired Millikin professor Rick Bibb. The discussions that followed were related to how history students can assist the ongoing effort to develop and professionalize the local museum and archive. We hope to take advantage of this opportunity for more hands-on student history
projects in the new academic year. The department also actively encourages and arranges internships with state agencies and area museums; in the summer of 2012, our students worked at museums and archives throughout central Illinois. Our internship program is one of the best in the region for undergraduate students. Our recruiting class for the forthcoming academic year 2013-14 is seven students.

**History Major Learning Outcome Goals**

In each history course, students will:

1. be able to express in oral and written form their understanding of major issues and intellectual theories within the discipline of history
2. demonstrate their ability to research and analyze objectively any historical or social and cultural topic and generate an independent and original point of view
3. demonstrate a historical world view that examines causes and effects of particular events, ideas and time periods.
4. demonstrate their awareness of a global perspective and an appreciation of comparative models, diverse cultural traditions and universal patterns
5. demonstrate their ability to utilize critical and analytical skills in application to any subject

**History Major Learning Story**

The first-year curriculum in History introduces students to a broad sense of historical developments in the modern world. The second-year curriculum enables students to explore in a more specific manner the history of medieval and modern Europe, and of American history from its beginnings to the present. Third-year courses engage topics in European, American, non-Western, and global history, along with opportunities for independent study. The student’s History curriculum culminates in two classes: the Seminar in History (a course in a specific content area, appropriate for juniors or seniors), and Historiography, often taken in the fall semester of the senior year.

The concentration in the History learning experience is on critical analysis – in part because the department is too small to hope to offer comprehensive coverage of anything so broad as “history,” and in part because the History Department’s approach makes the discipline intellectually vibrant and culturally relevant to students.

Learning goals are embedded in every class taught by History faculty. Every student is expected to master an increasing level of critical and analytical ability. In a developmental sense, the History Department moves students from survey-level courses, to 300-level content courses, to the seminar and to Historiography, with expectations increasing at each level. The range of courses that is provided in accordance with these expectations is defined by who is in the department at any given time, both full- and part-time.

The range and sequence of courses and learning experiences in the History major includes the following requirements:
- Either History 201 and 202 (Rise of Modern Europe, to and since 1700), or History 203 and 204 (American History, to and since the Civil War);
- Six elective courses, four of which must be at the 300 level or above;
- One 400-level content seminar;
- History 480, Historiography and Research.

With Dr. Monroe on sabbatical in fall 2012, the department relied on its skilled adjuncts to offer compensating courses in his absence. Dr. Perelman taught courses on Japanese and Chinese history as well as a course on the history of medicine that focused on five epidemics, the latter class quite popular with our growing population of nursing majors. Dr. Sampson stepped up and offered courses on Ireland in America and two sections of the U.S. survey. Dr. Keagle taught additional courses too. The department faculty worked together to continue to present a broad array of exciting and content-packed courses throughout the academic year.

**Snapshot**

In 2012-13 the full time History Department faculty are Dan Monroe, Ph.D. University of Illinois (U.S., Islamic World and Latin America), Timothy Kovalcik, Ph.D. University of Suffolk (Europe and related subjects), and Brian Mullgardt, Ph.D. University of Connecticut (U.S. and Social Science Education)

Part-time faculty are Robert Sampson, Ph.D. University of Illinois (U.S., British, and Labor), William Keagle, Ph.D. University of Illinois (U.S., World, Religion), and Ellie Perelman, Ph.D. University of California-Berkeley (Asia, Ancient World). All part-time faculty must hold at least an M.A. degree in History. All current faculty hold doctoral degrees from outstanding History programs at nationally ranked universities. The department offers wide-ranging coverage that reflects the broad preparation of its faculty.

Currently the department serves 27 majors as of the end of the academic year, down slightly because of graduations and not taking into account our incoming class, approximately two-thirds “pure” history majors, the other third history/secondary education majors. All majors must complete 33 credits, with greater flexibility available in the “pure” history major’s curriculum. All majors must complete at least one course in each of three major fields: U.S., Europe, Non-Western or Global.

The department offers between 35 and 40 courses per year, and is a major contributor to university requirements at both the sophomore level (IN 250) and junior level (IN 350). About 700 students per year pass through history classes at Millikin.

**History Curriculum Map**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Goal #1: Students will be able to express in oral and written form their ideas</th>
<th>Goal #2: Students will demonstrate their ability to research and</th>
<th>Goal #3: Students will demonstrate an historical worldview</th>
<th>Goal #4: Students will demonstrate their awareness of a global</th>
<th>Goal #5: Students will demonstrate their ability to utilize critical thinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
understanding of the major issues and intellectual theories within the discipline of history.

analyze objectively any historical or social and cultural topic and generate an independent and original point of view.

examines causes and effects of particular events, ideas, and time periods.

perspective and appreciation of comparative models, diverse cultural traditions, and universal patterns.

and analytical skills in application to any subject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HI 201</td>
<td>Rise of Modern Europe, Medieval Period to 1700</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 202</td>
<td>Rise of Modern Europe, 1700 – Present</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 203</td>
<td>U.S. History to 1865</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 204</td>
<td>U.S. History Since 1865</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 100</td>
<td>Intro to Modern World</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 210 and 310</td>
<td>Topics in United States History</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 320</td>
<td>Topics in European History</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 340</td>
<td>Topics in Non-Western History</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 360</td>
<td>Topics in Global History</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 400</td>
<td>Seminar in History</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI 480</td>
<td>Historiography and Research</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment Methods

Students are assessed in the content seminar HI 400, since all history majors must take this course. In the discipline of history, the seminar is typically the capstone course. It represents an opportunity for a credentialed faculty member to instruct students in a content area that has been a major focus of that faculty member's research and writing. Students are assigned advanced articles and scholarly monographs in the content area and are assessed via essay quizzes. Students also complete a research paper based on primary sources and present that paper to the class in a formal oral defense.

The spring 2013 seminar on the 1960s enrolled five students; the low enrollment may have been a product of the previously rather high enrollment in 2012 (16) when the department chair taught
the course. This suggests a need to keep enrollment at a reasonable level so that each faculty member can enjoy a decent class enrollment when the opportunity for seminar, which is shared among history faculty, arrives. The department chair collected and evaluated five research papers on whether the department's five learning goals were met.

**Seminar Research Paper**

Student papers that met department standards to a high degree were assigned 5 points, those to a moderate degree 3 points, those to an insufficient degree 1 point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High degree</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate degree</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient degree</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** 17 points

**Analysis of Assessment Results**

Because of the small sample size, final conclusions must be tempered. That said, the data suggests that students overall performed reasonably well on the research paper assignment, and that the department’s learning goals are being met.

**Improvement Plans**

The department instituted a formal system of artifact collection in 2011 whereby papers are retained from either the seminar or historiography courses for assessment purposes. In the past, the chair collected the relevant artifacts for the purpose of assessing the department's content courses. Unfortunately, historiography papers were not retained while the chair was on sabbatical, and it proved impossible to recover the papers. To avoid a future paucity of data for assessment purposes, the chair will have Rachel Bicicchi set up a moodle shell for both the historiography and seminar courses and require that students download their papers into moodle. Assessment will be based on BOTH historiography and seminar papers, insuring a robust data sample. That should alleviate the problem.

The department also needs to consider a method to give students greater familiarity with primary sources before they reach the seminar course in junior or senior year. One possibility is to create a course at the sophomore level on research methods that would introduce students to the uniquely rigorous process of historical research which requires judgment and discernment in the use and employment of primary source material. We have had discussions regarding the creation of such a course, but have yet to form a consensus on what precise form it should take and when to offer it. Our conversation is continuing.

Dr. Brian Mullgardt completed the annual assessment of the Social Science Education major. His report is attached.
Appendix
History Department Assessment Rubrics

Long Research Paper

Department learning goals are met to a high degree when this paper meets the following criteria:

* thoroughly researched, with an appropriate, extensive and relevant bibliography
* clear and original thesis and argument supported by a wide variety of primary (when appropriate) and secondary sources, including journal articles
* demonstrates a clear understanding of cause and effect in history
* reflects a high degree of critical thought in making distinctions between and among sources
* Oral defense reflects an advanced ability to express in oral form an understanding of relevant issues and intellectual theories related to the paper

Department learning goals are met to a moderate degree when this paper meets the following criteria:

* adequately researched, with an appropriate and relevant bibliography
* clear thesis and argument supported by a moderate variety of primary (when appropriate) and secondary sources, including journal articles
* demonstrates an adequate understanding of cause and effect in history
* reflects some degree of critical thought in making distinctions between and among sources
* Oral defense reflects some ability to express in oral form an understanding of relevant issues and intellectual theories related to the paper

Department learning goals are met to an insufficient degree when this paper meets the following criteria:

* inadequately researched, with an incomplete bibliography
* unclear and unoriginal thesis, with argument inadequately supported by a narrow and limited range of sources
* does not demonstrates an understanding of cause and effect in history
* reflects little or no ability to apply critical thought and make distinctions between and among sources
* reflects little or no ability to situate specific content in a relevant body of theory
*Oral defense reflects little or no ability to express in oral form an understanding of relevant issues and intellectual theories related to the paper*